

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/315,988 05/21/1999		05/21/1999	HAJIME KAWANO	29273/502	7205
23838	7590	06/19/2003			
KENYON		•	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			FERNANDEZ	FERNANDEZ, KALIMAH	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2881	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Acti n	09/315,988	KAWANO ET AL.						
Advisory Add II	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kalimah Fernandez	2881						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	nally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment						
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requestion in condition for allowance b 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becomisted by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: See Continuation Sheet.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	l∏ will be entered and an w or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>17</u> .						
10. Other:								





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment fails to place the application in better form for allowance and/or appeal. Rather, the proposed amendment incorporates the rejected subject matter of claims 2 and 3 into the amended claim 1. Since, the subject matter recited in claims 2 and 3 stand rejected as described in the office action mailed on 1-22-03, the proposed amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. Also, the amendment would require further consideration of dependent claims 2 and 3 under 35 USC 112 and 37 CFR 1.75 (i).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
JECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800